Ringwood School District Evaluation Committee Report for the Custodial & Management Services RFP

- 1. List of Proposers:
 - Temco
 - Pritchard
 - GCA
 - Aramark
- 2. List of Evaluation Committee Members:
 - Warren Mitchell
 - Dr. Nicholas Bernice
 - Steve Evans
 - Paul Scutti
 - Nancy Dondero

3. Cost of Proposals (Ranked from lowest to highest five year price):

	Comparison of	Proposi	d Form A -	PRICI	NG				
Description	Details	Temco		Pritchard		GCA		Aramark	
Description		Percent	Total Charges	Percent	Total Charges	Percent	Total Charges	Percent	Total Charges
	Charge for Wages		\$262,080.00		\$262,080.00		\$262,080.00		\$262,080.00
Custodial	Charge for Health Care Benefits	0%	\$0.00	2%	\$5,940.00	9%	\$22,820.00	7%	\$19,524.00
Custodia	Charge for Other Fringe Benefits	0%	\$0.00	0%	\$1.00	2%	\$4,649.03	8%	\$20,046.24
	Charge for Payroll Taxes	19%	\$49,691.04	19%	\$49,795.20	14%	\$36,747.25	14%	\$37,212.74
Consultant Recommended FTE's 9.00	No. of F1Es (1 F1E=2080 Hours per Year)	9.00		9.00		9.00		9.00	
Consultant Recommended Wage Rate \$14.00	Avg. Wage Rate Excl. Benefits & Taxes	\$14.00		\$14.00	4.02.6 98	\$14.00	2.556	\$14.00	
Custodial Overtime	Charge for Wages		\$21,000.00		\$21,000.00		\$21,000.00		\$21,000.00
Cristotiai Overtine	Charge for Payroli Taxes	19%	\$3,990.00	19%	\$3,990.00	16%	\$3,445.36	14%	\$2,981,79
Required Hours 1000	Number of Annual Hours	1,000		1,000		1,000	99-JS-JS-JS-S	1.000	
Consultant Recommended Wage Rate \$21.00	Avg. Wage Rate Excl. Benefits & Taxes	\$21.00		\$21.00		\$21.00		\$21.00	
	Charge for Wages		\$133,120.00		\$124,800.00		\$133,120.00		\$133,120,00
Custodial Head/Leads	Charge for Health Care Benefits	9%	\$12,040.92	2%	\$2,640.00	10%	\$13,040.00	7%	\$9,762.00
Custodiai - Head Leads	Charge for Other Fringe Benefits	0%	\$0.00	0%	\$1.00	2%	\$2,360.97	7%	\$8,909,44
	Charge for Payroll Taxes	19%	\$25,133.28	19%	\$23,712.00	14%	\$18,661.75	14%	\$18,901,71
Consultant Recommended FTE's 4.00	No. of FTEs (1 FTE=2080 Hours per Year) -	4.00		4.00		4.00		4.00	
Consultant Recommended Wage Rate \$16.00	Avg. Wage Rate Excl. Benefits & Taxes	\$16.00		\$15.00		\$16.00		\$16.00	
Custodial Heads/Lead Overtime	Charge for Wages	300	\$7,200.00		\$6,750,00		\$7,200.00		\$7,200,00
Custodia Trans Lead Overline	Charge for Payroll Taxes	16%	\$1,152.00	19%	\$1,282.50	16%	\$1,182.64	14%	\$1,022.33
Required Hours 300	Number of Annual Hours	300	5-4045/46	300		300		300	
Consultant Recommended Wage Rate \$24.00	Avg. Wage Rate Excl. Benefits & Taxes	\$24.00	als sees	\$22.50		\$24.00		\$24,00	
	Charge for Wages		\$70,000.32	TRANSPARENCE NAME	\$70,000.00		\$70,000.00	1000	\$70,000.00
General Manager	Charge for Health Care Benefits	10%	\$7,200.00	4%	\$2,700.00	9%	\$6,105.00	21%	\$14,436.00
General Manager	Charge for Other Fringe Benefits	2%	\$1,232.04	0%	\$1,00	2%	\$1,225,00	3%	\$2,227.32
	Charge for Payroll Taxes	9%	\$6,610.92	19%	\$13,300,00	14%	\$9,758.00	14%	\$9,659.83
Consultant Recommended FTE's 1.00	No. of FIEs (1 FIE=2080 Hours per Year)	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Consultant Recommended Wage Rate \$33.65	Avg. Wage Rate Excl. Benefits & Taxes	\$33.65		\$33.65		\$33.65		\$33.65	
Contractor Start Up Charges									Language States
Total amount amortized over 5 years:	Annual Charges		\$0.00		\$1,076.92	ASSESSED IN	\$1,261.20		\$0.00
Contractor Equipment Budget/Pool:	All Proposed \$79,000								
Total amount amortized over 5 years:	Annual Charges		\$14,000.00	海 衛 毛	\$14,000.00		\$14,000,00		\$14,000.00
Contractor Charge for Computerized Quality As	лиансе System		\$60.00		\$0,00		\$176.00		\$1,980.00
Contractor Charge for Office and or Warehouse	Rent		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00		\$0.00
Contractor Charge for Required Office Equipme	at	30.00	\$6,890.40		\$4,230.00		\$830.00		\$7,552,00
Contractor Charge for Supplies and On-Going O	perating Costs	100-100-100	\$905.52		\$38,508.00		\$7,453.80	6661-6666	\$23,699.00
Contractor Management Fee		2.9%	\$19,273.56	2.4%	\$16,161.61	6.0%	\$41,433.00	6.2%	\$45,985.00
District Charge for Contract Monitoring			\$12,000.00		\$12,000.00		\$12,000.00		\$12,000.00

Comparison of	Proposi	il Form A -	PRICE	NG				
Description Details		Temco Pritchard		GCA		Aramark		
Description Details	Percent	Total Charges	Percent	Total Charges	Percent	Total Charges	Percent	Total Charges
TOTAL CONTRACT CHARGE YEAR ONE		\$653,580,00		\$673,969.23		\$690,549.00		\$743,299.40
Increase for 2018-2019 - Input Dollar Amount	3.3%	\$21,300.00	2.2%	\$15,048.79	2.0%	\$13,810,98	2.3%	\$16,820,00
TOTAL CONTRACT CHARGE YEAR TWO		\$674,880.00		\$689,018.01	WEST OF	\$704,359.98		\$760,119.40
Increase for 2019-2020 - Input Dollar Amount		\$14,280.00	2.0%	\$14,014,98	2.0%	\$14,087.19	2.3%	\$17,207.00
TOTAL CONTRACT CHARGE YEAR THREE		\$689,160.00		\$703,032.99		\$718,447.17		\$777,326,40
Increase for 2020-2021 - Input Dollar Amount	2.1%	\$14,640.00	2.2%	\$15,676.17	2.0%	\$14,368.94	2.3%	\$17,602.00
TOTAL CONTRACT CHARGE YEAR FOUR		\$703,800.00		\$718,709.16	848	\$732,816.11		\$794,928.40
Increase for 2021-2022 - Input Dollar Amount	2.2%	\$15,480.00	2.2%	\$15,770,28	2.0%	\$14,656.32	2.3%	\$18,007.00
TOTAL CONTRACT CHARGE YEAR FIVE	(50 VA) (55)	\$719,280.00		\$734,479.44	ESTOSECTE.	\$747,472.43		\$812,935.40
TOTAL CONTRACT CHARGE FOR FIVE YEARS	\$60.28A.55	\$3,440,700.00		\$3,519,208.85	3000	\$3,593,644.67	1000000000	\$3,888,608.98

4. Evaluation Criteria:

	The Criteria Used in Evaluating Proposals The points awarded range from 1 to 5, with 5 being the highest score and 1 being the lowest	Weighting Factor	Points
	Program Price: What is the price of the program proposed and its impact upon the district's operating budgets? Are the charges detailed in the proposal form realistic; i.e., heath care costs, payroll taxes, management fee, etc.	15%	1 to 5
	Contractor's financial viability, strength, capability and record of performance: Considers the contractor's capability and experience as measured by financial statements, performance record, litigation, years in the industry, number of public school districts served and references.	12%	1 to 5
	On-Site Management: Considers the references; proposal resumes, face to face interviews and any other method to discover the capabilities and skill level of the on-site management. At a minimum, the proposed candidate must demonstrate the following: On-site Manager(s): • Must have at least two years' experience in managing a comparable sized public school district. • Must have a high school diploma or GED equivalent diploma. • Must be in the process of obtaining or have a Black Seal License by 07-1-2015. • Must be fluent in English.	25%	1 to 5
4.	Staffing Viability: Considers whether wages are sufficient to recruit and maintain a stable workforce by comparing the Contractors proposed wage rates to the NJ Dept. of Labor's most current New Jersey Department of Labor OES survey for median average wages for the District's county for custodial, management and clerical position detailed in Exhibit 7. Are benefits and paid time off provided/offered and employee contribution to insurance premiums and copays/deductibles sufficient to recruit and maintain a stable workforce? Also considers whether the number of custodial, management and clerical staff provided and recommended by the Contractor is sufficient to meet the Scope of Work in this RFP? Considers the Consultant's Recommended Staffing, Wage Rates and Salaries as detailed in Exhibit 8.	24%	1 to 5
5.	Contractor's Proposed Program: Is the contractor's program, systems, training, and procedures for custodial and management services thorough and comprehensive to meet the scope of work?	10%	1 to 5
6.	Contractor's Start Up/Transition Plan: Is the contractor's start-up plan customized to the start of this program? Is the plan detailed from pre- planning (30 days prior to the start of the contract) through the start of the contract and the first three months to September 30, 2015? Did it detail the additional management/resources they will be providing as well as the startup task, any requirements for the District, implementation date, estimated completion date, and who is responsible (name and title)? Did the plan have 100 or more different (not repetitive) tasks listed covering the startup activities in implementation, management, HR, custodial and training? Was it submitted in Excel format or a Gantt chart?	14%	1 to 5

5. Scoring:

Ringwood Evaluatio	ns of Av	vard Crit	eria for	Custodi	al & Ma	nagemer	ıt Servic	es	
Evaluator: Warren Mitchell	Weighing		Points Awarded (1 to 5)			Weighted Points			
CRITERIA	Percent	Temco	Pritchard	GCA	Aramark	Temco	Pritchard	GCA	Aramark
Program Price:	15%	5.00	4.00	MATCH AND SHOULD	1.00	0.75	0.60	0.45	activities de des des des de la con-
Contractor's capability and record of performance:	12%	4.00	4.00		3.00	0.48	0.48	0.43	0.15 0.36
On-Site Management:	25%	5.00	4.00		3.00	1.25	1.00	0.75	0.75
Staffing Viability	24%	4.00	3,00		4.00	0.96	0.72	0.75	0.75
Contractor's Proposed Program:	10%	4.00	4.00	{	4.00	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40
Contractor's Start Up/Transition Plan:	14%	5.00	3.00	·	4.00	0.70	0.40	0.56	0.56
TOTALS	100%	27.00	22.00	22.00	19.00	4.54	3.62	3,60	
Evaluator: Dr. Nicholas Bernice	Weighing	27.00		rded (1 to 5)	15.00	4.34	···		3.18
CRITERIA	Percent	Temco	Pritchard	GCA	Aramark	Temco	Weighted Pritchard	DAGGERAN STREET	
Program Price:	15%	5.00	3,00	Annipul Calor Portrassings	1.00	0.75	0.45	GCA 0.20	Aramark
Contractor's capability and record of performance:	12%	2.00	3.00		1.00	0.75		0.30	0.15
On-Site Management:	25%	5.00	4.00		2.00	1.25	0.36	0.60	0.12
Staffing Viability	24%	1.00	3.00	4.00	5.00	0.24	1.00	0.75	0,50
Contractor's Proposed Program:	10%	3.00		ļ			0.72	0.96	1,20
Contractor's Start Up/Transition Plan:	14%	4.00	2.00 2.00		4.00 5.00	0.30 0.56	0.20	0.50	0.40
TOTALS	100%						0.28	0.42	0.70
Evaluator: Steve Evans	100% Weighing	20.00	17.00	22.00	18.00	3.34	3.01	3,53	3.07
CRITERIA	Percent		Points Awar Pritchard	Charles of Alice and Production		89:40:3: Venda	Weighted	Control Control Control	usia a samata sa ta
		Temco	Control	GCA	Aramark	Temco	Pritchard	GCA	Aramark
Program Price:	15%	4.00	3.00	2.00	1.00	0.60	0.45	0.30	0.15
Contractor's capability and record of performance:	12%	4.00	2.00	3.00	1.00	0.48	0.24	0.36	0.12
On-Site Management:	25%	4.00	3.00	2.00	1.00	1.00	0.75	0.50	0.25
Staffing Viability	24%	3.00	2.00	4.00	1.00	0.72	0.48	0.96	0.24
Contractor's Proposed Program:	10%	4.00	2.00	3.00	1.00	0.40	0.20	0.30	0.10
Contractor's Start Up/Transition Plan:	14%	4.00	2.00	3.00	1.00	0.56	0.28	0.42	0.14
TOTALS	100%	23.00	14.00	17.00	6.00	3.76	2.40	2,84	1.00
Evaluator: Paul Scutti	Weighing	1.2.1000.0000.000	Points Awar	rded (1 to 5)		Weighted Points			
CRITERIA	Percent	Temco	Pritchard	GCA	Aramark	Temco	Pritchard	GCA	Aramark
Program Price:	15%	4,00	3.00	2.00	1.00	0.60	0.45	0.30	0.15
Contractor's capability and record of performance:	12%	3,00	2.00	3.00	1.00	0.36	0.24	0.36	0.12
On-Site Management:	25%	5,00	3.00	1.00	1.00	1.25	0.75	0.25	0.25
Staffing Viability	24%	2.00	2.00	3.00	1.00	0.48	0.48	0.72	0.24
Contractor's Proposed Program:	10%	3.00	2.00	3.00	1.00	0.30	0.20	0.30	0.10
Contractor's Start Up/Transition Plan:	14%	3.00	2.00	3.00	1.00	0.42	0.28	0.42	0.14
TOTALS	100%	20.00	14.00	15.00	6.00	3.41	2.40	2.35	1.00
Evaluator: Nancy Dondero	Weighing		Dallage Acces						
CDITECIA			Sourz Awai	ded (1 to 5)			Weighted	Points	
CRITERIA	Percent	Temco	Pritchard	ded (1 to 5) GCA	Aramark	Temco	Weighted Pritchard	l Points GCA	Aramark
Program Price:	Percent 15%	Temco 5.00	and the plant of the state of t	CONTRACTOR SERVICE SER	regarded to the second state of the second	1975/1970/00/00/00/00/00/00/00/	Pritchard	GCA	PCAUS D-CLASSES, DAGGER, C
Program Price:	15%	5.00	Pritchard 4,00	GCA 3.00	1.00	0.75	Pritchard 0.60	GCA 0.45	0.15
	15% 12%	5.00 3.00	Pritchard 4,00 5.00	GCA 3.00 4.00	1.00 3.00	0.75 0.36	Pritchard 0.60 0.60	GEA 0.45 0.48	0.15 0.36
Program Price: Contractor's capability and record of performance: On-Site Management:	15% 12% 25%	5.00 3.00 5.00	4.00 5.00 3.00	3.00 4.00 1.00	1.00 3.00 1.00	0.75 0.36 1.25	9.60 0.60 0.75	GEA 0.45 0.48 0.25	0.15 0.36 0.25
Program Price: Contractor's capability and record of performance: On-Site Management: Staffing Viability	15% 12% 25% 24%	5.00 3.00 5.00 5.00	4,00 5.00 3.00 3.00	3.00 4.00 1.00 4.00	1.00 3.00 1.00 4.00	0.75 0.36 1.25 1.20	9.60 0.60 0.75 0.72	0.45 0.48 0.25 0.96	0.15 0.36 0.25 0.96
Program Price: Contractor's capability and record of performance: On-Site Management: Staffing Viability Contractor's Proposed Program:	15% 12% 25%	5.00 3.00 5.00	4,00 5.00 3.00 3.00 3.00	3.00 4.00 1.00 4.00 4.00	1.00 3.00 1.00 4.00 2.00	0.75 0.36 1.25 1.20 0.50	0.60 0.60 0.75 0.72 0.30	0.45 0.48 0.25 0.96 0.40	0,15 0,36 0,25 0,96 0,20
Program Price: Contractor's capability and record of performance: On-Site Management: Staffing Viability	15% 12% 25% 24% 10% 14%	5.00 3.00 5.00 5.00 5.00	4,00 5,00 3,00 3,00 4,00	3.00 4.00 1.00 4.00 4.00 3.00	1.00 3.00 1.00 4.00 2.00 3.00	0.75 0.36 1.25 1.20 0.50 0.70	9.60 0.60 0.75 0.72 0.30 0.56	9CA 0.45 0.48 0.25 0.96 0.40 0.42	0,15 0.36 0.25 0.96 0.20 0.42
Program Price: Contractor's capability and record of performance: On-Site Management: Staffing Viability Contractor's Proposed Program: Contractor's Start Up/Transition Plan:	15% 12% 25% 24% 10%	5.00 3.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 28.00	4,00 5,00 3,00 3,00 4,00 22,00	3.00 4.00 1.00 4.00 4.00	1.00 3.00 1.00 4.00 2.00	0.75 0.36 1.25 1.20 0.50	0.60 0.60 0.75 0.72 0.30	0.45 0.48 0.25 0.96 0.40	0,15 0,36 0,25 0,96 0,20
Program Price: Contractor's capability and record of performance: On-Site Management: Staffing Viability Contractor's Proposed Program: Contractor's Start Up/Transition Plan: TOTALS	15% 12% 25% 24% 10% 14%	5.00 3.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 28.00	4,00 5,00 3,00 3,00 4,00	3.00 4.00 1.00 4.00 4.00 3.00	1.00 3.00 1.00 4.00 2.00 3.00	0.75 0.36 1.25 1.20 0.50 0.70	9.60 0.60 0.75 0.72 0.30 0.56	9CA 0.45 0.48 0.25 0.96 0.40 0.42	0,15 0,36 0,25 0,96 0,20 0,42
Program Price: Contractor's capability and record of performance: On-Site Management: Staffing Viability Contractor's Proposed Program: Contractor's Start Up/Transition Plan: TOTALS	15% 12% 25% 24% 10% 14%	5.00 3.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 28.00	4,00 5,00 3,00 3,00 4,00 22,00	GCA 3.00 4.00 1.00 4.00 3.00 19.00	1.00 3.00 1.00 4.00 2.00 3.00	0.75 0.36 1.25 1.20 0.50 0.70	9.60 0.60 0.75 0.72 0.30 0.56	GCA 0.45 0.48 0.25 0.96 0.40 0.42 2.96	0,15 0.36 0.25 0.96 0.20 0.42
Program Price: Contractor's capability and record of performance: On-Site Management: Staffing Viability Contractor's Proposed Program: Contractor's Start Up/Transition Plan: TOTALS	15% 12% 25% 24% 10% 14%	5.00 3.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 28.00	Pritchard 4,00 5,00 3,00 3,00 4,00 22,00 COTALS	GCA 3.00 4.00 1.00 4.00 4.00 3.00	1.00 3.00 1.00 4.00 2.00 3.00	0.75 0.36 1.25 1.20 0.50 0.70	9.60 0.60 0.75 0.72 0.30 0.56 3.53	GCA 0.45 0.48 0.25 0.96 0.40 0.42 2.96	0,15 0.36 0.25 0.96 0.20 0.42
Program Price: Contractor's capability and record of performance: On-Site Management: Staffing Viability Contractor's Proposed Program: Contractor's Start Up/Transition Plan: TOTALS	15% 12% 25% 24% 10% 14% 100%	5.00 3.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 28.00	Pritchard 4,00 5,00 3,00 3,00 4,00 22,00 OTALS Points Awar Pritchard	3.00 4.00 1.00 4.00 4.00 3.00 19.00	1.00 3.00 1.00 4.00 2.00 3.00 14.00	0.75 0.36 1.25 1.20 0.50 0.70 4.76	Pritchard 0.60 0.60 0.75 0.72 0.30 0.56 3.53 Weighted	0.45 0.48 0.25 0.96 0.40 0.42 2.96	0.15 0.36 0.25 0.96 0.20 0.42 2.34
Program Price: Contractor's capability and record of performance: On-Site Management: Staffing Viability Contractor's Proposed Program: Contractor's Start Up/Transition Plan: TOTALS CRITERIA Program Price:	15% 12% 25% 24% 10% 14% 100% Weighing Percent	5.00 3.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 28.00	Pritchard 4,00 5,00 3,00 3,00 4,00 22,00 OTALS Points Awar Pritchard 17,00	3.00 4.00 1.00 4.00 4.00 3.00 19.00	1.00 3.00 1.00 4.00 2.00 3.00 14.00 Aramark 5.00	0.75 0.36 1.25 1.20 0.50 0.70 4.76 Temco	Pritchard 0.60 0.60 0.75 0.72 0.30 0.56 3.53 Weighted Pritchard 2.55	GCA 0.45 0.48 0.25 0.96 0.40 0.42 2.96 d Points GCA 1.80	0.15 0.36 0.25 0.96 0.20 0.42 2.34 Aramark
Program Price: Contractor's capability and record of performance: On-Site Management: Staffing Viability Contractor's Proposed Program: Contractor's Start Up/Transition Plan: TOTALS CRITERIA	15% 12% 25% 24% 10% 14% 100% Weighing Percent 15% 12%	5.00 3.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 28.00 Temco 23.00 16.00	Pritchard 4,00 5,00 3,00 3,00 4,00 22,00 OTALS Points Awar Pritchard 17,00 16,00	GCA 3.00 4.00 1.00 4.00 3.00 19.00 4.00 19.00	1.00 3.00 1.00 4.00 2.00 3.00 14.00 Aramark 5.00 9.00	0.75 0.36 1.25 1.20 0.50 0.70 4.76 Temco 3.45 1.92	Pritchard 0.60 0.60 0.75 0.72 0.30 0.56 3.53 Weighted Pritchard 2.55 1.92	GCA 0.45 0.48 0.25 0.96 0.40 0.42 2.96 4 Points GCA 1.80 2.28	0.15 0.36 0.25 0.96 0.20 0.42 2.34 Aramark 0.75
Program Price: Contractor's capability and record of performance: On-Site Management: Staffing Viability Contractor's Proposed Program: Contractor's Start Up/Transition Plan: TOTALS CRITERIA Program Price: Contractor's capability and record of performance: On-Site Management:	15% 12% 25% 24% 10% 14% 100% Weighing Percent 15% 12% 25%	5.00 3.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 28.00 28.00 16.00 24.00	Pritchard 4,00 5,00 3,00 3,00 4,00 22,00 OTALS Points Awar Pritchard 17,00 16,00 17,00	GCA 3.00 4.00 1.00 4.00 3.00 19.00 ded (1 to 5) GCA 12.00 19.00 10.00	1.00 3.00 1.00 4.00 2.00 3.00 14.00 Aramark 5.00 9.00 8.00	0.75 0.36 1.25 1.20 0.50 0.70 4.76 Temco 3.45 1.92 6.00	Pritchard 0.60 0.60 0.75 0.72 0.30 0.56 3.53 Weighted Pritchard 2.55 1.92 4.25	GCA 0.45 0.48 0.25 0.96 0.40 0.42 2.96 3 Points GCA 1.80 2.28 2.50	0.15 0.36 0.25 0.96 0.20 0.42 2.34 Aramark 0.75 1.08
Program Price: Contractor's capability and record of performance: On-Site Management: Staffing Viability Contractor's Proposed Program: Contractor's Start Up/Transition Plan: TOTALS CRITERIA Program Price: Contractor's capability and record of performance: On-Site Management: Staffing Viability	15% 12% 25% 24% 10% 14% 100% Weighing Percent 15% 12% 25% 24%	5.00 3.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 28.00 23.00 16.00 24.00 15.00	Pritchard 4,00 5,00 3,00 3,00 4,00 22,00 OTALS Points Awar Pritchard 17,00 16,00 17,00 13,00	GCA 3.00 4.00 1.00 4.00 3.00 19.00 4.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00 19.00	1.00 3.00 1.00 4.00 2.00 3.00 14.00 Aramark 5.00 9.00 8.00	0.75 0.36 1.25 1.20 0.50 0.70 4.76 Temco 3.45 1.92 6.00 3.60	Pritchard 0.60 0.60 0.75 0.72 0.30 0.56 3.53 Weighted Pritchard 2.55 1.92 4.25 3.12	GCA 0.45 0.48 0.25 0.96 0.40 0.42 2.96 3 Points GCA 1.80 2.28 2.50 4.56	0.15 0.36 0.25 0.96 0.20 0.42 2.34 Aramark 0.75 1.08 2.00 3.60
Program Price: Contractor's capability and record of performance: On-Site Management: Staffing Viability Contractor's Proposed Program: Contractor's Start Up/Transition Plan: TOTALS CRITERIA Program Price: Contractor's capability and record of performance: On-Site Management:	15% 12% 25% 24% 10% 14% 100% Weighing Percent 15% 12% 25%	5.00 3.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 28.00 28.00 16.00 24.00	Pritchard 4,00 5,00 3,00 3,00 4,00 22,00 OTALS Points Awar Pritchard 17,00 16,00 17,00	GCA 3.00 4.00 1.00 4.00 3.00 19.00 ded (1 to 5) GCA 12.00 19.00 10.00	1.00 3.00 1.00 4.00 2.00 3.00 14.00 Aramark 5.00 9.00 8.00	0.75 0.36 1.25 1.20 0.50 0.70 4.76 Temco 3.45 1.92 6.00	Pritchard 0.60 0.60 0.75 0.72 0.30 0.56 3.53 Weighted Pritchard 2.55 1.92 4.25	GCA 0.45 0.48 0.25 0.96 0.40 0.42 2.96 3 Points GCA 1.80 2.28 2.50	0.15 0.36 0.25 0.96 0.20 0.42 2.34 Aramark 0.75 1.08 2.00

6. Scoring Summary

- a. TEMCO: 19.81 Points Temco ranked number one for Program Price because they had the lowest five-year price. Temco tied for second with Pritchard Contractor's Capability and Record of Performance. For On-Site Management, Temco ranked first. In Staffing Viability, Temco tied for second place with Aramark. In the Contractor's Proposed Program Temco tied for first with GCA and for Contractor's Startup/Transition Plan Temco ranked first.
- b. PRITCHARD: 14.96 Points Pritchard ranked second in Program Price. In Contractor's Capability and Record of Performance Pritchard tied for second with Temco. Pritchard's ranked second for On-Site Management. Pritchard ranked fourth for Staffing Viability. For Contractor's Proposed Program Pritchard ranked third. Finally, their Startup Plan/Transition Plan was ranked fourth.
- c. GCA: 15.28 Points GCA ranked third for Program Price. In the Contractor's Capability and Record of Performance GCA ranked first. On-Site Management, GCA ranked third. In Staffing Viability GCA ranked first. For the Contractors Proposed Program GCA tied with Temco for first place and ranked second for their Transition Plan.
- d. ARAMARK: 10.59 Points Aramark ranked fourth in Program Price. In the Contractor's Capability and Record of Performance, Aramark ranked last. Aramark also ranked last for On-Site Management. In Staffing Viability tied for second with Temco. For Aramark's Proposed Program they ranked fourth. As for Contractor's Startup/Transition Plan Aramark ranked third.
- 7. Recommendation of the Ringwood School District's Custodial RFP Evaluation Committee:
 - Upon review of the proposal books submitted, and based upon the RFP evaluation criteria, the committee concludes that the Temco proposal is most advantageous for the Ringwood School District.